Table 1: IPAs in Terms of Size and Scope

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | United States | California |
| Practice size (# Physicians) | 121.4 | 216.1 |
| Specialist/Primary Mix | 69% | 80% |

Source: National Study of Physician Organizations and the Management of Chronic Illness, September 2001–September

Table 2: Use of Quality Indicators in California

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | IPAs in the US | IPAs in CA | % Difference |
| Report outcomes data | 17% | 33% | 101% |
| Income tied to quality | 22% | 45% | 104% |
| Uses care management processes | 54% | 70% | 29% |
| Reports PT satisfaction | 18% | 36% | 97% |

Source: Gillies, R et al. How Different is California? A Comparison of U.S. Physician Organizations. Health Affairs. 2003.

Figure 1: Impact of mental health condition as a comorbid condition on overall health spend

